

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (BRL)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

**APPLICATION OF SCA CREQUE AS SPECIAL COUNSEL
TO THE TRUSTEE FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM
COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES RENDERED FROM
JUNE 1, 2011 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**

SCA Creque (“SCAC”), special counsel for Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), Trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and Bernard L. Madoff, submits this Application for Interim Allowance of Fees (the “Application”) for compensation of legal fees in the amount of \$70,919.59 (of which 20% is to be deferred through the conclusion of the liquidation period) and reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$1,084.80 for the period from June 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011 (the “Compensation Period”). In support of the Application, SCAC respectfully submits as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. On December 15, 2008, Judge Stanton of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, upon application filed on the same date by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), entered an order (the “Decree Order”) calling for the liquidation of the business of the Debtor in accordance with SIPA and by Paragraph II of the Decree Order, the Trustee was appointed as the trustee for the liquidation of the Debtor’s business.

2. The Debtor’s liquidation proceeding was removed to this Court pursuant to SIPA § 78eee(b)(4), and, to the extent consistent with the provisions of SIPA, is being conducted as though it were a case under chapters 1, 3, and 5 and subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) pursuant to SIPA § 78fff(b).

3. Beginning on June 5, 2009, SCAC has served as special counsel for the Trustee.

4. On July 14, 2009, this Court entered an order approving the Trustee’s motion for authority to retain SCAC as special counsel to the Trustee in matters pertaining to the British Virgin Islands.

5. The Trustee’s motion to retain SCAC established a fee arrangement pursuant to which SCAC agreed to a fee reduction in the amount of 10%. SCAC also agreed to an additional holdback of fees in the amount of 20%.

II. SERVICES RENDERED BY SCAC

6. The legal services performed on behalf of the Trustee during the time period covered by this Application are as follows:

7. Throughout the Compensation Period, SCAC has continued to advise the Trustee on various British Virgin Islands (“BVI”) related legal issues. The BVI remains a relevant jurisdiction because several of the customers/brokerage clients of BLMIS were investment funds

domiciled in the BVI. A number of these funds are the subject of proceedings before the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

8. SCAC extensively communicated with the Trustee's counsel to provide legal advice concerning the litigation filed by the Trustee in the BVI and to serve U.S. legal proceedings on numerous defendant entities domiciled in the BVI.

9. SCAC prepared and filed an application for disclosure against the BVI registered agents of a BVI company that had been the recipient of transfers from BLMIS.

10. SCAC drafted the notice of application, prepared the draft order sought, and the evidence in support to be employed at the hearing of the Trustee's application for disclosure against a BVI company.

11. That application was filed and listed for hearing before the BVI Commercial Court and SCAC considered all relevant law and legal precedents in preparation for the hearing.

12. SCAC also advised the Trustee in relation to the Trustee's claims against certain BVI-domiciled funds, and advised the Trustee in relation to the actions of the liquidators of other BVI-domiciled funds. In connection with this work, SCAC liaised with the Trustee's special counsel in other jurisdictions, including Bermuda and the United Kingdom.

III. COMPENSATION REQUESTED

13. SCAC's Application demonstrates how SCAC has both added value to the Debtor's estate and has advanced the Debtor's SIPC liquidation proceeding.

14. SCAC has been mindful of the need to avoid undue legal fees in this case and has taken all reasonable steps to provide cost-effective representation while rendering services with the highest degree of skill and professionalism.

15. From June 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011, SCAC provided a total of 128.4 hours of legal services to the Trustee in this case. Prior to the 10% discount, the total amount of

fees incurred in this time period was \$78,799.54 and the total blended rate for professional services was \$613.71/hour. After the 10% discount, the total amount of fees incurred is \$70,919.59 and the total blended rate is \$552.34/hour. SCAC has agreed to a further 20% holdback of fees in the amount of \$14,138.92 resulting in the present request for compensation in the amount of \$56,735.68.

16. A breakdown of the total number of hours performed by each SCAC timekeeper is provided on **Exhibit A** annexed hereto.

17. SCAC seeks reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with its representation of the Trustee during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$1,084.80. An itemized list of these expenses is detailed on **Exhibit B** attached hereto.

IV. GENERAL MATTERS

18. All of the professional services for which compensation is requested herein were performed by SCAC for and on behalf of the Trustee and not on behalf of any other person or entity.

19. No agreement or understanding exists between SCAC and any other person for sharing compensation received in connection with this case nor has any other person or entity agreed to provide SCAC with compensation for the legal services described herein.

20. Section 78eee(b)(5)(A) of SIPA provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred . . . by a trustee, and by the attorney for such a trustee.” Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees. That section provides as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendation of SIPC.

SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C).

21. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(E) requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of SCAC (*see* SIPA § 78fff-3(b)(2)).

22. The Trustee has determined, at this time, that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make a distribution to general creditors or pay administrative expenses. The Trustee has been advised by SIPC that it concurs in this belief of the Trustee. Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

23. Therefore, with respect to this Application, SCAC requests that consistent with section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA, the Court “shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See In re Bell & Beckwith*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). SCAC expects that SIPC’s recommendation shall be filed with this Court separately.

WHEREFORE, SCAC respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- a. Granting this Application; and
- b. Allowing payment to SCAC for interim compensation for services rendered to the Trustee in the amount of (a) \$70,919.59, that reflects a reduction of 10% equal to \$7,879.95; and (b) \$56,735.68, that reflects a 20% holdback equal to \$14,183.91, pending final approval of SCAC’s fees in this case for professional services rendered to the Trustee from June 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011; and

- c. Allowing payment to SCAC in the amount of \$1,084.80 for reimbursement of expenses incurred by SCAC from June 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011; and
- d. Granting SCAC such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 17, 2012

SCA Creque

By: s/ Seamus Andrew
Seamus Andrew
P.O. Box 2344
Mandar House, Johnson's Ghut
Road Town
Tortola VG1110
British Virgin Islands
Telephone: +1(284) 494-0075

EXHIBIT A

**SUMMARY OF INTERIM FEE APPLICATION
OF SCA CREQUE FOR SERVICES RENDERED
FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 1, 2011 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2011**

	YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Niki Olympitis	1980	\$694.44	49.5	\$34,374.78
James Dixon		\$583.33	74.1	\$43,224.76
Greg Leacock		\$250.00	4.8	\$1,200.00
Total:		\$613.71	128.4	\$78,799.54
Total minus 10% Discount		\$552.34		\$70,919.59
Total Net of 20% Holdback:				\$56,735.68

EXHIBIT B

EXPENSE SUMMARY OF SCA CREQUE
FOR THE INTERIM PERIOD
OF JUNE 1, 2011 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

EXPENSES	AMOUNTS
BVI Commercial Court fees	\$425.00
BVI Corporate Registry fees	\$165.00
Document Services	494.80
Total Expenses Requested:	\$1,084.80